2016 Annual Interim Monitoring Report

Union Pacific Railroad Great Salt Lake Causeway Culvert Closure and Bridge Construction Project

SPK-2011-00755

December 21, 2016

Prepared for
Union Pacific Railroad
Omaha, NE 68179

Prepared by
HDR, Inc.
2825 East Cottonwood Parkway, Suite 200
Salt Lake City, UT 84121

Contents

1.0 Introduction **3**

2.0 Interim Monitoring Goals and Objectives **3**

3.0 Methods **4**

3.1 In-situ Measurements 7

3.2 Surface Water Samples 7

3.3 Brine Shrimp Samples 10

3.4 Quality Assurance 10

4.0 Summary of Results **11**

4.1 Water Surface Elevation 11

4.2 Vertical Profiles 12

4.3 Surface Water Summarized Results 21

4.4 Brine Shrimp Summarized Results 21

4.5 Data Quality Assurance Summary 21

5.0 Summary of Variances from the Interim Monitoring Plan **27**

6.0 Determination of No Adverse Effects **28**

6.1 Water Surface Elevation and Head Difference 28

6.2 South Arm Salinity 30

7.0 References **32**

Tables

Table 1. Interim Monitoring Goals and Objectives 4

Table 2. Sampling Site Coordinates and Descriptions 5

Table 3. Interim Monitoring Locations and Frequency 6

Table 4. Water Quality Parameters and Constituents To Be Measured and Methods, with Detection Limits, Reporting Limits, and Laboratory Hold Time 8

Table 5. Water Surface Elevation and Computed Head Difference 12

Table 6. Water Level, Total Depth, Secchi Depth, and Brine Layer Depth Ranges – 2016 13

Table 7. Results of Chemical Analyses for All Monitoring Events – 2016 24

Table 8. Brine Shrimp Metals Results by Monitoring Event and Life Stage Composition – 2016 25

Figures

Figure 1. Interim Monitoring Sampling Sites and USGS Gage Locations 5
Figure 2. Temperature Profiles – 2016 15
Figure 3. pH Profiles – 2016 16
Figure 4. Dissolved Oxygen Profiles – 2016 17
Figure 5. TDS Profiles – 2016 18
Figure 6. Salinity Profiles – 2016 19
Figure 7. North and South Arm WSEs and Head Difference 29
Figure 8. Interim Monitoring Results Compared to UPRR/UGS Historic South Arm Salinity Range 31

Acronyms

CMMP	Compensatory Mitigation and Monitoring Plan
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
GIS	geographic information systems
IMP	Interim Monitoring Plan
MDL	method detection limit
MRL	method reporting limit
NELAP	National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program
NGVD	National Geodetic Vertical Datum
NWP	Nationwide Permit
QA	quality assurance
QAPP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
QC	quality control
TDS	total dissolved solids
UDWQ	Utah Division of Water Quality
UGS	Utah Geological Survey
UPRR	Union Pacific Railroad
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
WSE	water surface elevation

1.0 Introduction

In 2016, Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) monitored the ambient water quality in the Great Salt Lake in accordance with UPRR's Interim Monitoring Plan (IMP) for Temporary Closure of the East Culvert, Great Salt Lake Causeway (UPRR 2014). The IMP was developed pursuant to the requirements of Special Conditions 2a and 2b of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Nationwide Permit 14 verification (USACE NWP 14) issued December 6, 2013 (SPK-2011-00755) and Condition 3 of the Utah Division of Water Quality's (UDWQ) 401 Water Quality Certification (No. SPK 2011-00755) issued December 16, 2013 (USACE 2013, 2014; UDWQ 2013).

The IMP described the scope and frequency of the both the water quality monitoring and its reporting. After USACE and UDWQ approved the IMP in May 2014, UPRR conducted monitoring and submitted reports for monitoring events in 2014 and 2015 (UPRR 2014, 2015a). This report summarizes the four monitoring events conducted in February, May, July, and September 2016. As required in the USACE NWP 14, UPRR submitted interim monitoring event reports after each monitoring event in 2016. These reports were submitted on May 23, 2016; August 19, 2016; October 25, 2016; and December 21, 2016 (UPRR 2016a, 2016b, 2016c, 2016d).

This report reviews and summarizes the ambient lake monitoring results for the monitoring events conducted in 2016. With the submittal of this report, UPRR is fulfilling the Utah 401 Water Quality Certification requirement to submit an annual interim monitoring report by January 1 of the year following data collection.

With the completion of construction of the causeway opening (180-foot-long bridge, control berm, invert berm, and excavated south channel) in December 2016, interim monitoring is concluded in accordance with USACE Individual Permit Special Condition 1.a, issued September 7, 2015, and the UDWQ 401 Water Quality Certification Condition 3, issued March 2, 2015 (USACE 2015; UDWQ 2015).

2.0 Interim Monitoring Goals and Objectives

Interim monitoring goals and objectives are provided in Table 1 below. The monitoring focused on characterizing the open waters of Gilbert Bay and Gunnison Bay near the project site. The monitoring consisted of four elements: (1) compiling water surface elevation (WSE) data for each monitoring event, (2) collecting in-situ water profiles, (3) collecting grab sample water quality samples for analyses at an off-site laboratory, and (4) collecting brine shrimp samples for analyses and counts by off-site laboratories. The activities of compiling WSE data (element 1) and collecting salinity gradient data (part of element 2) meet the USACE NWP 14 interim monitoring requirements (USACE 2013). Elements 2, 3, and 4 meet the Utah 401 Water Quality Certification interim monitoring requirements (UDWQ 2013).

Table 1. Interim Monitoring Goals and Objectives

Element	Goals	Objectives
1. Water surface elevations	Capture temporary hydrologic impacts resulting from closure of the east culvert.	Obtain WSEs for the North Arm and South Arm of the Great Salt Lake from USGS reporting stations located at Saline and Saltair.
2. Surface water profiles and conventional water quality	Collect Great Salt Lake total depth, depth to deep brine layer[a] (if present), ambient Secchi depth, pH, temperature, and salinity data set.	Collect salinity data consistent with UDWQ and USGS methods and reporting limits.
3. Surface and bottom water metals, sulfate sampling and dissolved oxygen	Collect Great Salt Lake ambient metals, sulfate, hardness, and dissolved oxygen data set in surface water.	Collect metals, sulfate, and dissolved oxygen water samples at specified locations consistent with UDWQ reporting limits.
4. Brine shrimp counts and tissue sampling	Collect Great Salt Lake ambient brine shrimp population data and tissue metals and percent moisture data set at co-located South Arm water quality stations.	Collect brine shrimp for taxonomic identification, counts, and tissue analysis at specified locations in accordance with UDWQ-approved reporting limits.

UDWQ = Utah Division of Water Quality; USGS = U.S. Geological Survey

[a] *Deep brine layer depth* refers to the vertical zone in a water column in which salinity changes rapidly with depth. For the purpose of this annual interim monitoring report, *deep brine layer depth*, *halocline*, and *chemocline* are synonymous.

3.0 Methods

The methods used for the monitoring events are described in the IMP and in each interim monitoring event report and so are only summarized below. UPRR conducted ambient lake monitoring at three locations in Gilbert Bay and two locations in Gunnison Bay, including locations to the south and north of the UPRR Great Salt Lake causeway in the vicinity of the east and west culverts and a location in Gilbert Bay in the basin between the causeway and the rest of Gilbert Bay (see Figure 1 and Table 2 below). These sampling sites were located in the open waters of Gunnison and Gilbert Bays at locations specified in the Utah 401 Water Quality Certification and in the USACE NWP 14. Sites 3 and 4 in Gunnison Bay could not be accessed for the July and September events due to low North Arm surface water elevations. A new site, NAV, was located in the North Arm that could be accessed directly from the causeway using a small craft. The new site is located about 50 meters north of the future causeway opening, and the location is described in Table 2. In-situ measurements and water quality samples were collected at each site (see Table 3 on page 6). Brine shrimp parameters were collected at the Gilbert Bay sites only.

Figure 1. Interim Monitoring Sampling Sites and USGS Gage Locations



Table 2. Sampling Site Coordinates and Descriptions

Site Name	Latitude	Longitude	Description
Gilbert Bay			
Sampling site 1	41° 12' 49.65" N	112° 43' 4.82" W	Halfway between the proposed bridge and the west culvert; 1 km south of the UPRR causeway
Sampling site 2	41° 12' 47.86" N	112° 36' 52.62" W	Halfway between the west and east culverts; 1 km south of the UPRR causeway
Sampling site 5	41° 10' 9.65" N	112° 39' 25.81" W	6 km south of the west culvert
Gunnison Bay			
Sampling site 3	41° 13' 54.62" N	112° 43' 11.77" W	Halfway between the proposed bridge and the west culvert; 1 km north of the UPRR causeway
Sampling site 4	41° 13' 34.84" N	112° 36' 40.64" W	Halfway between the west and east culverts; 0.5 km north of the UPRR causeway
Sampling site NAV	41° 13' 17.96" N	112° 45' 58.29" W	About 50 m north of the causeway opening bridge.

km = kilometers; m = meters; N = north; UPRR = Union Pacific Railroad; W = west

Table 3. Interim Monitoring Locations and Frequency

Parameter	Number and Sample Depth	Frequency	Field Duplicate[a]	Field Blank[a]	Equipment Rinsate[a]	Sampling Site				
						1	2	3[b]	4[b]	5
Total water depth	One measurement taken from water surface to bottom of lake.	Four times per year	NA	NA	NA	X	X	X	X	X
Depth to deep brine layer	One location inferred from conductivity profile.	Four times per year	NA	NA	NA	X	X	X	X	X
Secchi depth	Measurements taken from water surface and averaged.	Four times per year	NA	NA	NA	X	X	X	X	X
Temperature, pH, conductivity	Vertical profile; measurements taken *in situ* every 0.5 m. The field conductivity measurements will establish whether there is a deep brine layer present.	Four times per year	NA	NA	NA	X	X	X	X	X
Total dissolved solids, density	Vertical profile; grab samples taken every 1.5 m in upper brine layer; samples taken every 0.5 m in the deep brine layer.	Four times per year	10% of samples	10% of samples	10% of samples	X	X	X	X	X
Total metals (As, Cu, Pb, Se, Hg, Zn), SO_4, hardness, and DO	Grab samples taken 0.2 m from the water surface and 0.5 m from the bottom.	Four times per year	10% of samples	10% of samples	10% of samples	X	X	X	X	X
Brine shrimp count	One sample from one vertical tow.	Tri-annually (May, July, and September)	1 per quarter	NA	NA	X	X	NA	NA	X
Brine shrimp tissue, percent moisture	Composite sample from up to three vertical tows.	Tri-annually (May, July, and September)	1 per quarter	NA	NA	X	X	NA	NA	X

As = arsenic; Cu = copper; DO = dissolved oxygen; Hg = mercury; m = meters; NA = not applicable; Pb = lead; Se = selenium; SO_4 = sulfate; X = parameter measured at this site; Zn = zinc

[a] Field duplicate, field blank, and equipment rinsate samples were collected as part of the field quality assurance program, the results of which are described in the three individual interim monitoring event reports.

[b] During the July and September monitoring events, the lack of access to the North Arm prevented access to sampling sites 3 and 4. These sampling sites were replaced with sampling site NAV, located just north of the causeway.

3.1 In-situ Measurements

In-situ water quality measurements included total depth, depth to deep brine layer, Secchi depth, and vertical profiles of water temperature, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, and pH. These measurements are described in Table 4 below. Secchi depth was measured first. Then, water temperature, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, and pH were measured every 0.5 meter with a multiprobe water quality meter. The water quality meter was calibrated using the manufacturer's recommended calibration methods. These water quality measurements were used to determine the depth of the deep brine layer, if present. The depth of the deep brine layer was used to determine the frequency of the grab samples for total dissolved solids (TDS) and density according to the following rules:

- If a deep brine layer is present:
  - Collect samples above the deep brine layer every 1.5 meters
  - Collect samples below the deep brine layer every 0.5 meter
- If a deep brine layer is not present:
  - Collect samples every 1.5 meters

Specific gravity was determined via hydrometer for the interim monitoring events. The two Fisher-brand hydrometers used were calibrated for 60 degrees Fahrenheit, one for specific gravities of 1.100–1.220 and one for specific gravities of 1.200–1.420. Hydrometer readings were conducted at the HDR office on the samples collected. Three readings were obtained per sample and averaged. Temperature corrections were applied, if appropriate.

3.2 Surface Water Samples

Surface water samples were sent to Brooks Applied Labs in Seattle, Washington, for metals analyses as described in Table 4 below. Surface water samples were sent to Chemtech-Ford Laboratories in Salt Lake City, Utah, for density, hardness, sulfate, and TDS analyses as described in Table 4. Both laboratories are certified under the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP).

Each laboratory sample was collected into laboratory-supplied clean containers. Water samples were collected at depth with a Kemmerer sampler. Water samples to be analyzed for metals were collected using "clean hands" methods consistent with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Method 1669 (EPA 1996) and the UDWQ standard operating procedure for "Trace Metals Sample Collection (Clean Hands/Dirty Hands), Decontamination, and Multiprobe In-situ Monitoring Procedures."

The samples were preserved (as appropriate), stored, and delivered to the laboratory for analyzing the laboratory parameters listed in the IMP (see Table 4; UPRR 2014). A chain-of-custody record was maintained with the samples at all times. Shared sampling equipment used to collect the deep-water quality samples was decontaminated between sampling sites.

Table 4. Water Quality Parameters and Constituents To Be Measured and Methods, with Detection Limits, Reporting Limits, and Laboratory Hold Time

Parameter		Method [a]	Method Detection Limit	Method Reporting Limit	Hold Time
Field Measurements – Surface Water					
Lake elevation [b]	—	USGS automated gage	—	—	—
Total water depth	—	Weighted tape measure	—	0.1 m	Field
Depth to deep brine layer [c]	—	Aqua TROLL field measurement	—	0.1 m	Field
Secchi depth	—	—	—	0.1 m	Field
pH	—	SM 4500H	0.1 su	0.1 su	Field profile
Specific conductivity	—	SM 2510A	0.001 µmhos	0.001 µmhos	Field profile
Temperature	—	SM 2520	0.1 ºC	0.1 ºC	Field profile
Dissolved oxygen	DO	In-Situ, Inc., Method 1002-8-2009	0.2 mg/L	0.2 mg/L	Field profile
Specific gravity	--	ASTM 1429 [g]	0.001 (unitless)	0.001 (unitless)	—
Laboratory Analyses – Surface Water [d,e]					
Density	—	SM 2710F	—	0.001 g/mL	7 days
Hardness	—	SM 2340C	—	1 mg/L as $CaCO_3$	14 days
Sulfate (total)	SO_4^{2-}	EPA 300.0	—	1 mg/L	28 days
Total dissolved solids [f]	Salinity [f]	SM 2540C	—	5 mg/L	7 days
Arsenic (total)	As	EPA 1640	0.05 µg/L	0.15 µg/L	180 days
Copper (total)	Cu	EPA 1640	0.04 µg/L	0.12 µg/L	180 days
Lead (total)	Pb	EPA 1640	0.003 µg/L	0.013 µg/L	180 days
Mercury (total)	Hg	EPA 1631E	0.15 ng/L	0.40 ng/L	28 days
Selenium (total)	Se	EPA 1640	0.070 µg/L	0.210 µg/L	180 days
Zinc (total)	Zn	EPA 1640	0.26 µg/L	0.75 µg/L	180 days

(*continued on next page*)

Table 4. Water Quality Parameters and Constituents To Be Measured and Methods, with Detection Limits, Reporting Limits, and Laboratory Hold Time

Parameter		Method [a]	Method Detection Limit	Method Reporting Limit	Hold Time
Laboratory Analyses – Gilbert Bay Brine Shrimp [e]					
Percent moisture	—	SM 2540G	—	1.0%	—
Arsenic (total)	As	EPA 1638	0.014 mg/kg	0.040 mg/kg	180 days
Copper (total)	Cu	EPA 1638	0.03 mg/kg	0.16 mg/kg	180 days
Lead (total)	Pb	EPA 1638	0.004 mg/kg	0.040 mg/kg	180 days
Mercury (total)	Hg	EPA 1631	0.12 ng/g	0.4 ng/g	28 days
Selenium (total)	Se	EPA 1638	0.06 mg/kg	0.15 mg/kg	180 days
Zinc (total)	Zn	EPA 1638	0.20 mg/kg	1.00 mg/kg	180 days
Laboratory Counts – Gilbert Bay Brine Shrimp					
Brine shrimp (*Artemia franciscana*)		Dissection microscope	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable

°C = degrees Celsius
$CaCO_3$ = calcium carbonate
EPA = sampling method from EPA
µmhos = micromhos
m = meters
SM = Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater
g/mL = grams per milliliter
mg/L = milligrams per liter
µg/L = micrograms per liter
ng/L = nanograms per liter
mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram
ng/g = nanograms per gram
su = standard units

[a] Laboratory analytical method or field equipment.

[b] See Section 4.1, Water Surface Elevation.

[c] Deep brine layer depth refers to the vertical zone in a water column in which salinity changes rapidly with depth. The location of the deep brine layer is determined from abrupt changes in conductivity, temperature, and dissolved oxygen.

[d] Estimated by Chemtech-Ford Laboratories and Brooks Applied Labs (metals). Due to the nature of Great Salt Lake water, reporting limits might be elevated for some analyses.

[e] Estimated by Brooks Applied Labs. Due to the nature of brine shrimp tissue, reporting limits might be elevated for some analyses.

[f] Total dissolved solids are measured to estimate salinity.

[g] Standard operating procedure is provided in Appendix H, Standard Operating Procedure for Specific Gravity Determinations Using a Hydrometer, of each interim monitoring event report.

3.3 Brine Shrimp Samples

One vertical plankton tow was performed at each of sites 1, 2, and 5 to obtain brine shrimp for analytical laboratory analysis. Brine shrimp samples were sent to Brooks Applied Labs for metals analysis (see Table 4 above). One additional plankton tow was performed at each of these sites to collect brine shrimp samples. These samples were sent EcoAnalysts, Inc., in Moscow, Idaho, for taxonomic life stage identification and counts.

Each tow was from the bottom of the water column to the water surface, using a 165-micrometer net with a 50-centimeter-diameter opening and a screened sample bucket attached at the bottom of the net. The net was lowered to the desired depth and raised at an approximate rate of 0.5 meter per second to collect brine shrimp from the water column. The analytical sample was concentrated into the sample bucket and transferred to a labeled and laboratory-supplied sample jar. The procedure was repeated to collect a sample for brine shrimp taxonomic analysis. The samples were stored and recorded on separate chain-of-custody forms (one for the analytical laboratory and one for the taxonomic laboratory).

3.4 Quality Assurance

All data were collected in accordance with the IMP's Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) (UPRR 2014). After each monitoring event, UPRR subjected all data to quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures including but not limited to spot checks of transcription, review of electronic data submissions for completeness, comparison of geographic information systems (GIS) maps with field notes on locations, and identification of any inconsistent data. UPRR also evaluated the analytical data for their consistency with the data quality objectives in the QAPP. The QAPP specifies representativeness, accuracy, precision, comparability, and completeness objectives for data acquisition (UPRR 2014, Table 7-1).

- **Representativeness.** Representativeness was intended to be met via the location of sample sites as well as the monitoring event intervals. Representative locations and measurement intervals were prescribed by USACE and UDWQ and are listed in Table 2, Sampling Site Coordinates and Descriptions, of this report.

- **Accuracy.** Accuracy for field and laboratory measurements is defined as the degree of conformity of a measured or calculated quantity to its actual (true) value. The accuracy objective provided in the QAPP for the monitoring events (UPRR 2014) was intended to be met by using standard methods and calibrated instruments. Field instrument calibration records and laboratory Level 2 data packages are provided in Appendix F, Data Quality Assurance Documentation, and Appendix G, Field and Analytical Laboratory Data Reports, of each interim monitoring event report. QA samples (method blanks, laboratory control samples, method spikes, and others) were analyzed as appropriate for each method. In the few instances when laboratory QC analyses were outside acceptable limits, the laboratory qualified the data as biased high or low and flagged the data accordingly.

- **Comparability.** The comparability objective provided in the QAPP for the monitoring events (UPRR 2014) was ensured by meeting the target reporting limits provided in Table 4, Water Quality Parameters and Constituents To Be Measured and Methods, with Detection Limits, Reporting Limits, and Laboratory Hold Time, of this report. Though the brine matrix did require dilutions, method reporting limits (MRL) and method detection limits (MDL) were met. Per the

IMP, metals observed at concentrations between the MDL and MRL are provided "as is" in Appendix C, Surface Water Analytical Results, and Appendix G, Field and Analytical Laboratory Data Reports, of each interim monitoring event report but were reported as non-detect at the MRL in the main text of each report.

- **Precision.** Precision is an assessment of reproducibility under a particular set of conditions. The precision objective provided in the QAPP for the monitoring events consisted of the laboratory meeting all of its QA requirements and field duplicate results within 10% to 50%, as appropriate (UPRR 2014). Equipment rinsates and field blanks also provide insight into the sampling results' precision.
- **Completeness.** The completeness objective provided in the QAPP for the monitoring events was 90% (UPRR 2014) and is defined as the number of valid measurements divided by the number of measurements collected. For each monitoring event, 41 analytes were measured for each of the 5 water samples, and 14 analytes were measured for each of the 3 brine shrimp samples. Though some project variances and non-conformances resulted in data loss or qualification (see Section 5.0, Summary of Variances from the Interim Monitoring Plan, of this report and Section 2.6, Study Variances and Corrective Action, of each monitoring report), the completeness objective of 90% was met for each monitoring event for both surface water and brine shrimp.

Documentation of this process is provided in Appendix F, Data Quality Assurance Documentation, of each interim monitoring event report.

4.0 Summary of Results

The results of each 2016 monitoring event as well as the QA/QC review are presented in the four 2016 interim monitoring event reports, which were submitted on May 23, 2016; August 19, 2016; October 25, 2016; and December 21, 2016 (UPRR 2016a, 2016b, 2016c, 2016d). Data packages (Level 2) and other supporting documentation are also provided in these four reports. A summary of the results is provided below.

4.1 Water Surface Elevation

This section summarizes the WSE data and in-situ measurements collected during 2016.

Water Surface Elevation Data. UPRR acquired WSE data in 15-minute increments for Gunnison and Gilbert Bays from the U.S. Geological Survey's (USGS) website (USGS 2016; see Appendix A, Surface Water Elevation Data, of each interim monitoring event report). South Arm WSEs were obtained for USGS station 10010000 (Saltair gage), and North Arm WSEs were obtained for USGS station 10010100 (Saline gage; see Figure 1, Interim Monitoring Sampling Sites and USGS Gage Locations, of this report). The difference between the North and South Arm WSEs is referred to as the head difference; it is obtained by subtracting the North Arm WSE from the South Arm WSE (see Table 5 below). The USGS data presented in this report are reported by USGS as preliminary and will be updated when available.

Table 5. Water Surface Elevation and Computed Head Difference

Sampling Date	Water Surface Elevation (feet NGVD 29)		Head Difference (feet)
	South Arm	North Arm	
South Arm Sampling Date			
February 25, 2016	4,193.4	4,190.2	2.5
May 31, 2016	4,194.3	4,190.8	3.5
July 26, 2016	4,193.4	4,189.9	3.5
September 15, 2016	4,192.4	4,189.1	3.3
North Arm Sampling Date			
February 9, 2016	4,193.0	4,190.8	2.2
May 18, 2016	4,194.2	4,190.8	3.4
July 27, 2016	4,193.4	4,189.9	3.5
September 16, 2016	4,192.4	4,189.1	3.3

NGVD 29 = National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929

4.2 Vertical Profiles

The range of WSEs, total water depth, and depth to brine layer for all monitoring events are summarized in Table 6 below. Temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, TDS, and salinity profiles are provided in Figure 2, Figure 3, Figure 4, Figure 5, and Figure 6, respectively.

Depth to Brine Layer. Total water depth, Secchi depth, and Gilbert Bay brine layer depth ranges observed in 2016 are summarized in Table 6 below. The following general observations are made:

- The range in measured lake-bottom elevations at sampling sites (see Figure 2 through Figure 6) can be attributed to varying field conditions; that is, sampling when there was significant wave action. It is noted that, for the July and September monitoring events, an alternate site was established in Gunnison Bay due to low lake levels and inability to access the North Arm safely via the Compass Minerals' Behrens Trench facility. This new site, NAV, was sampled by using a small craft at the causeway opening construction site and sampling about 50 meters north of the future causeway opening. The NAV sampling site is shallower and much closer to the causeway than sites 3 and 4.
- A deep brine layer was observed at Gilbert Bay site 5 for February 2016 event only.
- A deep brine layer was not observed at Gilbert Bay sites 1 and 2 for any event.
- A deep brine layer was not observed in Gunnison Bay for any event.
- Secchi depths for Gilbert Bay were less than for Gunnison Bay in February only and were greater than for Gunnison Bay in May, July, and September.

Table 6. Water Level, Total Depth, Secchi Depth, and Brine Layer Depth Ranges – 2016

Parameter	Units	Gilbert Bay (Sites 1, 2, and 5)		Gunnison Bay (Sites 3, 4, and NAV)	
		Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum
Average WSE of sampling day	feet NGVD 29	4,192.4	4,194.3	4,189.1	4,190.8
Secchi depth	meters (feet)	0.5 (1.6)	4.0 (13.1)	1.0 (3.3)	1.0 (3.3)
Total water depth	meters (feet)	7.0 (23.0)	8.4 (27.6)	2.7 (8.9)	7.9 (25.9)
Depth from water surface to deep brine layer	meters (feet)	Not found	8.0 (26.2)	Not found	Not found
Deep brine layer thickness	meters (feet)	Not found	0.2–0.4 (0.7–1.3)	Not found	Not found

NGVD 29 = National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929

Temperature. Field-collected temperature data are shown in profiles; see Figure 2 on page 15. The following observations are made:

- General temperature variation corresponds seasonally, with the coldest temperatures during the winter (February event) and the warmest temperatures during September.

pH. Field-collected pH data are shown in profiles; see Figure 3 on page 16. The following general observations are made:

- Gilbert Bay sites had pH levels higher than those at Gunnison Bay sites for all monitoring events.

Dissolved Oxygen. Field-collected dissolved oxygen data are shown in profiles; see Figure 4 on page 17. The following general observations are made:

- Lower dissolved oxygen concentrations were observed near the lake bed in February and May at all sampled sites. During September, dissolved oxygen concentrations were nearly constant across all depths at all sampled sites.
- Dissolved oxygen concentrations were higher at Gunnison Bay sites compared to Gilbert Bay sites.
- Dissolved oxygen concentrations were very low at the Gilbert Bay sites during the May and September monitoring events, and ranged between 0.0 and 2.5 milligrams per liter (mg/L). These anoxic-to-hypoxic readings might not be considered realistic for multiple sites across the surface waters of Gilbert Bay, especially given the viable brine shrimp abundance and the lack of an apparent widespread deep brine layer for potential mixing. Therefore, these data are considered suspect even though field calibration checks were sufficient.

Total Dissolved Solids (TDS). Field-collected TDS data are shown in profiles; see Figure 5 on page 18. The following general observations are made:

- TDS concentrations at the sites in Gilbert Bay were similar, both spatially and vertically. TDS concentrations in Gilbert Bay did not appear to vary between February and July; a slight increase was reported in the September data.
- TDS concentrations at sites 3 and 4 in Gunnison Bay were similar, both and spatially and vertically. TDS concentrations at site NAV in Gunnison Bay varied dramatically; however, average concentration was similar to that of sites 3 and 4 from other monitoring events.

Salinity. Salinity profile data are shown in Figure 6 on page 19. Hydrometer-measured specific gravities were used to determine sample densities, which in turn were used in combination with laboratory-reported TDS values to calculate salinity. Density and salinity results are provided in Appendix C, Surface Water Analytical Results, of each interim monitoring event report. Salinity profile data are shown for each monitoring event and each sampling site in Figure 6 on page 19. The following general observations are made:

- Salinity in Gunnison Bay was greater than in Gilbert Bay.
- Because of the calculation of salinity (using hydrometer and laboratory TDS results), the salinity profiles mirror the general nature of the TDS profiles.
- Salinity has increased in the South Arm over the course of the 2016 monitoring events.
- Average South Arm salinity, calculated from hydrometer and laboratory-reported TDS values, ranged from about 12.7% in February to 16.3% in September.

Figure 2. Temperature Profiles – 2016

February



May



July

Equipment failure; no data were collected.

September



Figure 3. pH Profiles – 2016

February



May



July

Equipment failure; no data were collected.

September



Figure 4. Dissolved Oxygen Profiles – 2016

February



May



July

Equipment failure; no data were collected.

September



Figure 5. TDS Profiles – 2016



Figure 6. Salinity Profiles – 2016

February



May



July



September



This page is intentionally blank.

4.3 Surface Water Summarized Results

Analytical data collected from the four 2016 monitoring events are summarized in Table 7 on page 24. These data were provided in their entirety in each of the 2016 interim monitoring event reports, which were published on May 23, 2016; August 19, 2016; October 25, 2016; and December 21, 2016 (UPRR 2016a, 2016b, 201dc, 2016d). The complete data set is also available in Microsoft Excel format on request.

4.4 Brine Shrimp Summarized Results

Brine shrimp metals data and sample life stage composition are summarized in Table 8 on page 25. These data were provided in their entirety in the 2016 May, July and September monitoring event reports, which were published on August 19, 2016; October 25, 2016; and December 21, 2016 (2016b, 2016c, 2016d).

Brine shrimp density and percent composition of life stages varied throughout the year (Table 8). The following findings summarize brine shrimp life stage density and composition:

- The total brine shrimp count varied significantly among all sites for each monitoring event.
- In May 2016, total brine shrimp counts were observed with densities between 2,779 and 5,734 individuals per cubic meter. Most of the individuals were in the egg and early metanauplius life stages.
- In July 2016, total shrimp counts were observed with densities between 7,109 and 9,857 individuals per cubic meter. Most of the individuals were in the egg and early metanauplius life stages.
- In September 2016, total shrimp counts were observed with densities between 3,611 and 9,526 individuals per cubic meter. Nearly all individuals were in the egg stage.

4.5 Data Quality Assurance Summary

All data were collected in accordance with the IMP's QAPP (UPRR 2014). After each monitoring event, UPRR subjected all data to QA/QC procedures including but not limited to spot checks of transcription, review of electronic data submissions for completeness, comparison of GIS maps with field notes on locations, and identification of any inconsistent data. UPRR also evaluated the analytical data for their consistency with the data quality objectives in the QAPP. The QAPP specifies representativeness, accuracy, precision, comparability, and completeness objectives for data acquisition (UPRR 2014, Table 7-1). As a result of this process, UPRR observed the following:

- **Representativeness.** Representativeness was compromised for data collected in Gunnison Bay because of lack of access to the open water. Sites 3 and 4 were not sampled in July and September. A new site, NAV, was added to represent the North Arm because it could be accessed in a small craft from the causeway. This site represents the North Arm open water quality in general, as intended for sites 3 and 4 in the IMP.

- **Accuracy and Precision**
  - Level 2 data packages are provided in Appendix G, Field and Analytical Laboratory Data Reports, of each interim monitoring event report. QA samples (method blanks, laboratory control samples, method spikes, and others) were analyzed as appropriate for each method. In the few instances when laboratory QC analyses were outside acceptable limits, the laboratory qualified the data as biased high or low and flagged the data accordingly.
  - During the February monitoring event, lower-water-column copper results for sites 1 and 3 are estimated because equipment rinsate samples had detections greater than their respective reporting limits. All copper results were considered estimated because of field duplicate disagreement. TDS samples for sites 3 and 4 arrived late to the laboratory and were considered estimates due to being analyzed outside of holding time. Results that were inconsistent with historical results and/or upper- or lower-column results were rejected.
  - During the May 2016 monitoring event, lower-water-column copper and lead results were considered estimated because equipment rinsate samples had detections greater than their respective reporting limits. Copper concentrations in brine shrimp tissue were considered estimated because of field duplicate disagreement.
  - During the July 2016 monitoring event, lower-water-column copper and mercury results were considered estimated because equipment rinsate samples had detections greater than their respective reporting limits. Copper concentrations in brine shrimp tissue were considered estimated because of field duplicate disagreement.
  - During the September 2016 monitoring event, lower-water-column copper results were estimated because the equipment rinsate sample had a copper detection greater than its reporting limit. TDS were considered estimated because of field duplicate disagreement. All trace metals results associated with brine shrimp tissue samples were considered estimated because of field duplicate disagreement.
  - All field blank results were trace or non-detect.
- **Comparability.** The comparability objective provided in the QAPP for the monitoring events (UPRR 2014) was ensured by meeting the target reporting limits provided in Table 4, Water Quality Parameters and Constituents To Be Measured and Methods, with Detection Limits, Reporting Limits, and Laboratory Hold Time, of this report. Though the brine matrix did require dilutions, MRL and MDL were met. Per the IMP, metals observed at concentrations between the MDL and MRL are provided "as is" in Appendix C, Surface Water Analytical Results, and Appendix G, Field and Analytical Laboratory Data Reports, of each interim monitoring event report but were reported as non-detect at the MRL in the main text of each report.

- **Completeness.** The completeness objective provided in the QAPP for the monitoring events was 90% (UPRR 2014) and is defined as the number of valid measurements divided by the number of measurements collected. For each monitoring event, 41 analytes were measured for each of the 5 water samples, and 14 analytes were measured for each of the 3 brine shrimp samples. Though some project variances and non-conformances resulted in data loss or qualification (see Section 5.0, Summary of Variances from the Interim Monitoring Plan, of this report and Section 2.6, Study Variances and Corrective Action, of each monitoring report), the completeness objective of 90% was met for each monitoring event for both surface water and brine shrimp. In-situ measurements did fall below the 90% completeness objective because of the following data losses:
  - During the February 2016 monitoring event, conductivity measurements were rejected because the probe failed in-field calibration checks. The dissolved oxygen results collected from Gilbert Bay (sites 1, 2, and 5) failed the in-field calibration checks and were rejected.
  - During the July 2016 monitoring event, temperature, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, and pH were not measured at any of the sites. The Aqua TROLL rented from In Situ, Inc., did not function.
  - During the September 2016 monitoring event, all dissolved oxygen measurements were rejected because they were not plausible. Dissolved oxygen measurements in the entire water column at the Gilbert Bay stations were less than 1 mg/L. These values are not plausible at shallow depths that are frequently mixed with the atmosphere.

Documentation of this process is provided in Appendix F, Data Quality Assurance Documentation, of each interim monitoring event report.

Table 7. Results of Chemical Analyses for All Monitoring Events – 2016

Parameter	Units	Gilbert Bay				Gunnison Bay	
		Surface Water		Brine Shrimp (wet-weight)		Surface Water	
		Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum
Upper Water Column							
Hardness, total	mg/L	19,100	28,500 **V**	—	—	57,200	76,100
Sulfate [a]	mg/L	9,450	12,200	—	—	20,500	35,700
Arsenic	µg/L or mg/kg	89.60	113.00	1.81	2.2	178.00	264.00
Copper	µg/L or mg/kg	1.29	4.59 **V**	6.09	20.8	0.80	10.20 **V**
Mercury	ng/L or ng/g	2.74	6.95	19.2	22.9	26.00	34.20
Lead	µg/L or mg/kg	1.33 **V**	2.98	0.119	0.49	< 0.03	0.34
Selenium	µg/L or mg/kg	0.35	0.42	0.757	0.842	< 0.35	< 0.45
Zinc	µg/L or mg/kg	0.60 **V**	4.85	6.08	8.56	< 1.92	3.15 **V**
Lower Water Column							
Hardness, total	mg/L	22,300	27,600	—	—	52,500	74,100
Sulfate [a]	mg/L	10,300	12,200	—	—	20,800	48,900
Arsenic	µg/L	37	121	—	—	178	243
Copper	µg/L	2.63 **N**	35.30 **V**	—	—	0.10 **V**	13.50 **V**
Mercury	ng/L	3.90	36.50	—	—	24.30	32.30
Lead	µg/L	1.49	18.10	—	—	< 0.03	0.22 **V**
Selenium	µg/L	0.38 **V**	0.96 **V**	—	—	< 0.35	0.53 **V**
Zinc	µg/L	< 1.75	38.30	—	—	< 1.92	3.47 **V**

[a] Data quality objectives for sulfate met quality assurance objectives (UPRR 2014); UPRR notes that historical Utah Geological Society data were analyzed to a greater level of resolution.

mg/L = milligrams per liter

µg/L = micrograms per liter

ng/L = nanograms per liter

< = Not detected at the method reporting limit.

N = Laboratory reports that quantity reported is estimated.

V = Upon data review and verification, determined to be estimated.

Table 8. Brine Shrimp Metals Results by Monitoring Event and Life Stage Composition – 2016

Parameter		Site 1 May	Site 1 July	Site 1 Sept	Site 2 May	Site 2 July	Site 2 Sept	Site 5 May	Site 5 July	Site 5 Sept
Life Stage Sample Composition (≥ 1% of at least one sample)										
Egg/cyst	Count per cubic meter	1,883 (68.7%)	4,968 (50.4%)	3,467 (96.0%)	615 (21.0%)	4,147 (58.3%)	9,329 (97.5%)	2,224 (38.8%)	4,260 (61.2%)	6,431 (85.3%)
Nauplius	Count per cubic meter	84 (3.0%)	611 (6.2%)	26 (0.7%)	162 (5.5%)	461 (6.5%)	9 (0.1%)	392 (6.8%)	640 (9.2%)	0 (0.0%)
Early metanauplius	Count per cubic meter	448 (16.1%)	3,765 (38.2%)	4 (0.1%)	1,466 (50.2%)	2,194 (30.9%)	9 (0.1%)	1,853 (32.3%)	1,829 (26.3%)	17 (0.2%)
Mid metanauplius	Count per cubic meter	0 (0.0%)	138 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	97 (3.3%)	66 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	87 (1.5%)	26 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Late metanauplius	Count per cubic meter	0 (0.0%)	20 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	22 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Subadult	Count per cubic meter	308 (11.1%)	138 (1.4%)	84 (2.3%)	464 (15.9%)	22 (0.3%)	113 (1.2%)	850 (14.8%)	0 (0.0%)	572 (7.6%)
Adult	Count per cubic meter	56 (2.0%)	217 (2.2%)	29 (0.8%)	86 (3.0%)	219 (3.1%)	103 (1.1%)	305 (5.3%)	209 (3.0%)	520 (6.9%)
Total	Count per cubic meter	**2,779**	**9,857**	**3,611**	**2,922**	**7,109**	**9,526**	**5,734**	**6,965**	**7,540**
Percent Solids										
Total solids	%	13.4	6.9	7.8	13.1	6.0	10.3	12.5	6.4	11.9

(*continued on next page*)

Table 8. Brine Shrimp Metals Results by Monitoring Event and Life Stage Composition – 2016

Parameter		Site 1			Site 2			Site 5		
		May	July	Sept	May	July	Sept	May	July	Sept
Metals, Wet-Weight										
Arsenic	mg/kg	29.1	2.04	2.12 **H,V**	19.6	1.64	2.26 **H,V**	28.2	1.71	2.54 **H,V**
Copper	mg/kg	275 **V**	8 **V**	83.3 **H,V**	75.8 **V**	10.6 **V**	31.6 **H,V**	82.2 **V**	14.3 **V**	29.6 **H,V**
Mercury	ng/g	6.48	0.216	11.6 **H,V**	1.34	0.150	1.37 **H,V**	1.6	0.734	1.01 **H,V**
Lead	mg/kg	303	28	24.0 **H,V**	207	26.4	54.6 **H,V**	278	23.2	84.4 **H,V**
Selenium	mg/kg	10.7	0.313	0.432 **H,V**	8.18	0.280	0.709 **H,V**	11.4	0.368	0.608 **H,V**
Zinc	mg/kg	113	7.33	11.7 **H,V**	65.8	6.33	10.2 **H,V**	93.8	5.83	12.4 **H,V**
Metals, Dry-Weight										
Arsenic	mg/kg	2.2	29.5	27.2 **H,V**	1.81	27.6	21.9 **H,V**	2.09	1.71	21.4 **H,V**
Copper	mg/kg	20.8 **V**	115 **V**	1,070 **H,V**	7.01 **V**	178 **V**	307 **H,V**	6.09 **V**	14.3 **V**	249 **H,V**
Mercury	ng/g	0.49	3.12	149 **H,V**	0.124	2.52	13.3 **H,V**	0.119	0.734	8.5 **H,V**
Lead	mg/kg	22.9	28	307 **H,V**	19.2	26.4	530 **H,V**	20.6	23.2	711 **H,V**
Selenium	mg/kg	0.809	0.313	5.54 **H,V**	0.757	0.280	6.88 **H,V**	0.842	0.368	5.12 **H,V**
Zinc	mg/kg	8.56	7.33	150 **H,V**	6.08	6.33	98.8 **H,V**	6.95	5.83	105 **H,V**

H = Estimated. Samples warmed above 4 degrees Celsius during shipping.
V = Determined during data review and verification to be estimated.
mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram
ng/g = nanograms per gram

5.0 Summary of Variances from the Interim Monitoring Plan

The 2016 monitoring events were conducted in conformance with the IMP, with the following variances:

The May 2016 monitoring event had the following variance:

- The sampling boat drifted from site 4 in Gunnison Bay to a location over the Behrens Trench, which is a heavy brine (bitterns) conveyance canal for Compass Minerals. Boat drift was a challenge because the precipitated salt layer on the lake bottom will not allow the boat anchor to hold. As a result, the lower water column samples collected and measured showed the presence of the heavy brine layer. All of the data collected were presented in the May report, but the site location was qualified.

The July 2016 monitoring event had the following two variances:

- Sites 3 and 4 in Gunnison Bay could not be accessed. Low surface water elevations prevented launching a boat large enough to safely access open water. A new site, NAV, was sampled by using a small craft at the causeway opening construction site and sampling about 50 meters north of the future causeway opening.
- Temperature, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, and pH were not measured at any of the sites. The Aqua TROLL rented from In Situ, Inc., did not function. As a result, the presence and width of the deep brine layer were not determined prior to sampling for density and TDS. Subsequent density, TDS, and specific gravity results indicated the absence of a deep brine layer at all sites.

The September 2016 monitoring event had the following variance:

- Sites 3 and 4 in Gunnison Bay could not be accessed. Low surface water elevations prevented launching a boat large enough to safely access open water. A new site, NAV, was sampled by using a small craft at the causeway opening construction site and sampling about 50 meters north of the future causeway opening.

All 2016 monitoring events had the following variance:

- The laboratory-measured density data are considered valid results. However, to be consistent with previous monitoring events, hydrometer data were used for salinity calculations and hence rejected (R) for this application. As described in the May 2014 report, UPRR consulted with the Utah Geological Survey (UGS) (Rupke 2014) to identify the approach to determine density. In addition to laboratory measurements, specific gravity measurements of the samples were made with a hydrometer and are also presented in this report. The hydrometer standard operating procedure is provided in Appendix H, Standard Operating Procedure for Specific Gravity Determinations Using a Hydrometer. Hydrometer data were used for salinity calculations.

All other activities conformed to the IMP and QAPP.

6.0 Determination of No Adverse Effects

UPRR evaluated interim monitoring data collected and reported for South Arm salinity and WSEs and determined that no adverse effects to the beneficial uses of the Great Salt Lake because of the temporary closure of the east culvert. This evaluation is provided to support the data interpretation approach as described in Section 8.1, Data Interpretation Approach, of the IMP (UPRR 2015a) and Special Condition 2(c) of the USACE NWP 14 for the temporary closure of the east culvert (USACE 2013).

The following sections compare the observed WSE, calculated head difference, and measured South Arm data to the historic WSE and UPRR/UGS salinity data to support this determination.

6.1 Water Surface Elevation and Head Difference

UPRR acquired real-time WSE data as recorded by the USGS gages located on the Great Salt Lake (see Figure 1, Interim Monitoring Sampling Sites and USGS Gage Locations, and Section 4.1, Water Surface Elevation, of this report). The North Arm's WSE is monitored at the Saline gage at the southeast corner of the North Arm at USGS site 10010100. The South Arm's WSE is monitored at the Saltair gage at the south end of the South Arm at USGS site 10010000. These data are available at ut.water.usgs.gov/greatsaltlake/elevations. UPRR has collected the historical real-time data for the WSEs over the last 10 years (USGS 2016). Using the WSEs at these USGS sites, UPRR: (1) reported the daily averages for the published USGS WSE data, and (2) calculated the head difference (in feet) between the South Arm WSE and the North Arm WSE (see Table 5, Water Surface Elevation and Computed Head Difference, and Section 4.1, Water Surface Elevation, of this report). UPRR then graphed the resulting data in Figure 7 below.

The 2016 WSE data collected and provided below indicate that, since the temporary closure of the east culvert, the South Arm and North Arm WSEs have risen and fallen seasonally, with an overall drop of 1.0 foot and 1.1 feet, respectively. As seen in Figure 7 below, after the temporary closure occurred in 2013, the previously recorded head difference remained relatively constant for about a year. However, as the South Arm WSE continued to drop, decreasing to less than 4,193 feet in elevation almost 1 year after the temporary closure of the east culvert, the head difference began to increase. This trend of increasing head difference continues as the lake levels continue to drop.

For the monitoring event in September 2016, the South Arm WSE was recorded at 4,192.4 feet in elevation with a head difference of 3.3 feet. The South Arm WSE at that time was about 0.95 foot above the historic low of about 4,191.35 feet in elevation recorded in 1961. With a South Arm WSE of about 4,193 feet in elevation or lower, lake waters no longer flow through the existing 300-foot-long bridge, thereby eliminating flow between the North and South Arms through the bridge. This reduction or elimination of flow through the bridge can be corroborated by reviewing the data from the USGS gage that records bridge flow data, which is USGS Site 10010020, Great Salt Lake Breach at Lakeside, Utah (waterdata.usgs.gov/ut/nwis/uv/?site_no=10010020&PARAmeter_cd=00065,0).

Figure 7. North and South Arm WSEs and Head Difference



Based on UPRR's review of the last 10 years of data, the following observations are made:

- The South Arm reached a high of almost 4,199 feet in elevation in 2011; since then, the WSE has continually declined due to drought conditions characterized by low precipitation and runoff volumes. UPRR's interim monitoring event in September 2014 reported a South Arm WSE of 4,193.4 feet. The September 2016 event reports a South Arm WSE of 4,192.4 feet, reflecting a 1.0-foot drop in the South Arm WSE.
- The South Arm continues to rise and fall with seasonal inflows; however, the North Arm shows less variability in the WSE.
- As the lake waters drop below about 4,193 feet in elevation, the open-water flow between the North and South Arms is reduced because the lake waters can no longer flow through the existing 300-foot-long breach near Lakeside. This condition affects head difference and WSEs.
- The low lake levels have resulted in less water and salt transfer through the causeway, as the lake waters have receded away from the causeway and the overall length of causeway between the North Arm and South Arm open waters is less, resulting in a smaller area for water and salt to transfer through the causeway itself.

Based on this review, UPRR determined that the temporary closure of the east culvert and the resulting WSEs and head difference have not resulted in adverse effects on the Great Salt Lake's beneficial uses.

The two free-flowing water and salt conveyance structures (the east culvert and the 300-foot-long breach) that were in the causeway in November 2013 are no longer conveying water and salt. The east culvert was closed in December 2013 under an interim authorization to stabilize the causeway. Lake waters have receded from the existing 300-foot-long breach (since December 2014), and, because of drought conditions, water is no longer flowing through the breach.

Water and salt transfer through the causeway is still occurring in areas where lake surfaces meet the causeway. Although the lake WSEs and head differences have been affected by the temporary closure of the east culvert, these differences have also been exacerbated by the drought and the resulting elimination of flow through the breach.

Taking account of the effect of the drought and resulting low surface water inflows into the lake, UPRR has determined that the temporary closure of the east culvert under the interim authorization has not adversely affected the beneficial uses of the Great Salt Lake.

6.2 South Arm Salinity

UPRR compared average salinities computed from interim monitoring event measurements to average historic UGS salinities.

UPRR used the UGS Great Salt Lake Brine Chemistry Database to define the historic South Arm densities (UGS 2012). By analyzing the UGS reported density and applying the USGS empirical formula, UPRR computed average lake salinities for the period 1966–2011. This UPRR/UGS historical salinity range was reported in the December 7, 2015, Compensatory Mitigation and Monitoring Plan (CMMP; UPRR 2015b).

Consistent with the methodology described in the December 2015 CMMP, UPRR calculated average South Arm salinities for the monitoring events and compared these observed data to UPRR/UGS historic salinity ranges for the South Arm (UPRR 2015b).

At each UPRR sampling site, samples were collected at various depths, and hydrometer-specific gravity readings were measured in a controlled setting after returning from the field. Observed specific-gravity measurements were corrected for temperature and then used to estimate densities and calculate salinities, following the USGS-defined density-salinity relationships (USGS 1973). These discrete salinities were averaged bathymetrically in accordance with USGS methodology to produce an average South Arm salinity for each event (USGS 1973). Note that the salinity values calculated using the methodology consistent with the CMMP are used for this salinity determination only. They are not consistent with the salinity values calculated for the salinity profiles for the interim monitoring events, which are calculated using hydrometer- and laboratory-reported TDS values.

UPRR has plotted and compared the interim monitoring average South Arm salinity data for the 2014 through 2016 monitoring events to the UPRR/UGS average historic South Arm salinity range as represented by the blue-shaded area in Figure 8 below. The historic collection of lake samples and analysis for salinity occurred over a South Arm WSE range of about 4,194 feet in elevation to about 4,212 feet in elevation. Because of the current low lake WSEs, the salinity data collected by UPRR in September 2014, 2015, and 2016, February 2016, and July 2016 correspond to WSEs that are slightly lower than the historic data represented in Figure 8.

Figure 8. Interim Monitoring Results Compared to UPRR/UGS Historic South Arm Salinity Range



The South Arm interim monitoring salinity data and the comparative analysis indicate that South Arm salinities are generally consistent with the UPRR/UGS average historic South Arm salinities. Based on this analysis, UPRR has determined that the temporary closure of the east culvert under the interim authorization has not resulted in adverse salinity effects on the beneficial uses of the Great Salt Lake.

7.0 References

[EPA] U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

1996 Method 1669: Sampling Ambient Water for Trace Metals at EPA Water Quality Criteria Levels. July.

Rupke, Andrew

2014 Personal communication between Andrew Rupke, UGS, and Karen Nichols, HDR, regarding conductivity probe use during sampling. July 16.

[UDWQ] Utah Division of Water Quality

2013 Approval of the Water Quality Certification with Conditions. Water Quality Certification No. SPK 2011-00755. December 16.

2015 Water Quality Certification No. SPK-2011-00755. Permanent Closure of the East Culvert and Bridge Construction, Great Salt Lake Railroad Causeway. March 2.

[UGS] Utah Geological Survey

2012 Great Salt Lake Brine Chemistry Database, 1966–2011.

[UPRR] Union Pacific Railroad

2014 Annual Interim Monitoring Report. December 19.

2015a Interim Monitoring Plan. Temporary Closure of the East Culvert. Revised April 16, 2015.

2015b Final Compensatory Mitigation and Monitoring Plan. December 7.

2016a Interim Monitoring Report – May 2016 Monitoring Results. May 23.

2016b Interim Monitoring Report – May 2016 Monitoring Results. August 19.

2016c Interim Monitoring Report – July 2016 Monitoring Results. October 25.

2016d Interim Monitoring Report – September 2016 Monitoring Results. December 21.

[USACE] United States Army Corps of Engineers

2013 Approval of Nationwide Permit 14, Linear Transportation Projects, No. SPK 2011-00755. December 6.

2014 Approval of Interim Monitoring Plan, Modification of Special Condition 2b, and Time Extension of May 2014 Monitoring Report. April 18.

2015 Individual Permit SPK-2011-00755 for the Permanent Closure of the East Culvert and Bridge Construction, Great Salt Lake. September 7.

[USGS] U.S. Geological Survey

1973 The Effects of Restricted Circulation on the Salt Balance of Great Salt Lake, Utah. Utah Geological and Mineral Survey. Water-Resources Bulletin 18.

2016 Great Salt Lake – Lake Elevations. ut.water.usgs.gov/greatsaltlake/elevations. Accessed April 20, 2016; June 16, 2016, December 12, 2016.